João Manuel Rosado de Miranda Justo¹, Ana Lúcia da Conceição Arromba da Silva², Ana Luísa Quintela Neves³. Carla Luísa de Deus Brás Frade'

1 Faculdade de Psicologia da Universidade de Lisboa, 2 Psicóloga Clínica, Centro de Formação Profissional de Santarém, I.E.F.P., 3 Psicóloga Clínica, Centro de Saúde de Vila Franca de Xira, 4 Psicóloga do Externato João Alberto Faria, Arruda dos Vinhos

Fecha de recepción: 14 de septiembre de 2011 Fecha de admisión: 20 de octubre de 2011

ABSTRACT

Defence mechanisms constitute an important concept at the domains of psychoanalysis and personality psychology with important consequences spreading at the fields of psychotherapy, psychopathology and psychological development. A short résumé is presented about the psychoanalytical theory of defence mechanisms, empirical research with defence mechanisms as also about the use of defence mechanisms in psychological investigation about adolescence; also some examples of research in adolescence psychology using the Defense Mechanisms Inventory (DMI) are presented. In order to present this instrument, we start by the description of its characteristics. Finally, we present data of the Portuguese version of the DMI adolescents' form, resulting from application at schools of Lisbon area in a sample of adolescents of both sexes with ages ranging between 13 and 19 years. Results from principal components analysis, internal consistency and descriptive statistics are displayed.

INTRODUCTION

Defence mechanisms belong to the world of psychodynamic concepts. Originally proposed by Sigmund Freud to explain the functioning of mental disturbances, in short time defence mechanisms took a first order place in the global explanation of dynamic psychopathology. Due to the development of psychometrics defence mechanisms were submitted to empirical research at a wide number of psychological research fields. During the last decades of the twentieth century, the systematic research about these mechanisms also overlapped the field of adolescence. One of the instruments that most contributed to the psychological investigation of defensive organization during ado-

(*) The authors wish to thank all the support offered by the Educational Service of the Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation, without which this work would not be possible.

lescence is the Defense Mechanisms Inventory created by Gleser and Ihilevich (1969) which includes, along with versions for adults and elderly, one version for adolescents. The adolescents' version of the DMI was translated and adapted for Portuguese language, and after it was used in a sample of Portuguese adolescents in order to obtain information about its psychometric properties. The results of this adaptation will be displayed after a short introduction about the psychoanalytical theory of defence mechanisms, as also about the use of this concept in psychological research in general and specifically in psychological investigation about adolescence.

THE PSYCHOANALYTICAL THEORY OF DEFENCE MECHANISMS

The existence of mental mechanisms dedicated to the psychological protection of human beings was described several times by Freud during the course of his scientific work (1894, 1908, 1911, 1915, 1917, 1920, 1923-a, 1923-b, 1926). At the end of this elaboration there are ten most important examples of these mechanisms: regression, repression, reactive formation, isolation, undoing, projection, introjection, turning against the self, reversal and sublimation (Anna Freud, 1946). According to the theoretical description, defence mechanisms have the following characteristics: a) its nature is psychological, b) they are acquired and built during the life of the human individual, c) they operate unconsciously and d) they protect the individual from intra-psychic conflicts. Still within this vision these mechanisms are built and organized by the Ego. At the development of the psychoanalytical theory several authors proposed the existence of different mechanisms among which we should mention: a) altruism, identification to the aggressor and intellectualization (Anna Freud, 1946) and b) projective identification, splitting of the object and idealization (Melanie Klein, 1946). Melanie Klein also stated that some defence mechanisms (introjection and projection) are active since the beginning of human life. In addition to the contributions of very important authors like Otto Fenichel (1945), R. Greenson (1967), or R. Schafer (1968), we should underline George Vaillant's alternative (1971, 1976, 1983), which ranks defence mechanisms in three levels: immature defences (projection, fantasy, hypochondria, passive-aggressive behaviour, dissociation and acting out; intermediate defences (repression, displacement, reactive formation and intellectualization) and mature defences (altruism, humour, suppression, anticipation and sublimation).

For the psychodynamic investigators it is consensual to consider that defence mechanisms perform a very important and specific role in psychopathological functioning. More than to illustrate the distinction between neurotic functioning (where usually we observe the performance of displacement, repression and formative reaction) and psychotic functioning (where usually we observe the performance of splitting, projection and projective identification), it is argued that it is the relationship among defences that constitutes the clinical specificity of mental illness.

The concepts of defence and coping were notably articulated by Norma Haan (1965), establishing a very fruitful combination of these two kinds of mental mechanisms in order to achieve a deeper understanding of the human mind.

EMPIRICAL RESEARCH WITH DEFENCE MECHANISMS

With the emerging field of personality psychological research produced objective instruments sensitive to defensive functioning and so it became possible to investigate about defences with empirical bases. Particularly fruitful results have stimulated researchers from the most varied fields to explore the associations between these mechanisms and personality variables, its influences in many sectors of human life without mentioning the inevitable investigation in clinical psychology.

Psychopathology: a) intropunitive defence mechanisms are associated with MMPI depressive profiles (Ihilevich & Gleser, 1991); b) borderline patients may be discriminated from schizophrenic patients because of a more pronounced use of mechanisms like splitting, primitive devaluation, idealization, negation and projective identification (Lerner and Lerner, 1980, 1982; Lerner et al., 1981); c) using the Defence Mechanisms Test, Sundbom, Kullgren and Armelius (1989) arrive to the same conclusion; d) defence mechanisms evaluation can be used correctly to classify subjects scoring in clinical levels of anxiety and depression (Olson, 2010); e) immature defences are possibly working as mediator variables between sexual and or physical abuse, on one side, and the extent of psychological distress or somatization in adulthood, on the other side (Nickel, 2006); f) alexithymia is related to immature defence styles (Helmes et al., 2008) and g) turning against self is significantly associated with depression (Campos, Besser & Blatt, 2011).

Personnel selection. In professional settings that generate high stress levels (fighter pilots, paratroopers, navy divers) defence mechanisms are good predictors of individuals that will show psychological vulnerabilities (Kragh, 1960; Neuman, 1967 and 1978; Termoelen & Johansen, 1980; Vaernes, 1982; Vaernes et al., 1987 and 1988.

Perceptive styles: a) subjects characterized by field-dependence use defence mechanisms of repressive kind or mechanisms that involve the reversal of hostility against the self and b) individuals characterized by field-independence use defences that allow the external expression of hostility and defences that favour the intellectualization of frustrating situations (Witkin, 1965; Witkin et al. 1968; Witkin & Goodenough, 1977, Bogo, Winget & Gleser, 1970; Ihilevich & Gleser, 1971; Erickson et al., 1976).

Brain dominance: a) subjects with right hemisphere brain dominance prefer mechanisms like negation, reactive formation and repression while b) subjects with left hemisphere brain dominance prefer mechanisms that turn aggression against the frustrating object or mechanisms that project aggression upon it (Gur & Gur 1975).

Traffic accidents. Mechanisms like regression, projection and repression enable the prediction of subjects that will relapse on driving under the influence of alcohol (Saitner, 1991).

Health. Defence mechanisms: a) are associated with survival after a heart stroke (Peglar & Borgen, 1984); b) are associated with high blood pressure (Belfrage, 1978; Minsky, 1978); c) are important in illnesses like asthma (Steiner et al., 1987); d) are associated with some addictive behaviours (O'Leary et al., 1975-a, 1975-b; Sugerman et al., 1975; Donovan et al., 1977); e) are important for the understanding of menstrual and pre-menstrual discomfort (Heilbrun & Renert, 1988); f) under the form of cognitive defence relate negatively to anxiety and under the form of defensive hostility relate negatively to social provisions in women waiting for breast biopsy results (Dragaset & Lindstrom, 2003); g) under the form of cognitive defence were negatively related to educational level in a sample of women with suspicion of breast cancer (Dragaset & Lindstrom, 2005); h) belonging to TAO and REV categories are able to discriminate between patients in haemodialysis and healthy subjects assigned to a control group (Zoccalli et al., 2006); i) under TAO, PRO, TAS and REV categories are able to discriminate between obese patients and normal-weight subjects in a control group (Zoccalli et al., 2008) and j) are associated with burnout among health workers (Ragio & Ercolani, 2009).

Abuse behaviour in dating relationships. In a sample of college students it could be observed that men characterized by the combination of narcissistic entitlement defences with attachment avoidance and stressful problems reported more dominance of female partners, while women characterized by the use of other-splitting defences reported more dominance of male partners (Gormley & Lopez, 2010).

DEFENCE MECHANISMS IN PSYCHOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION ABOUT ADOLESCENCE

Psychological research about adolescence has pointed out some very important contributions related to defence mechanisms performance at this stage of the life cycle.

Defence mechanisms and social mobility: Snarey and Vaillant (1985) used the two generations longitudinal sample gathered by Glueck and Glueck (Glueck, 1966; Glueck & Glueck, 1950 e 1968). Adding to this sample the data of a third generation, they tried to relate defence mechanisms with ascending social mobility observed along these three generations. In short, we are speaking about a data set that took nearly four decades to be recollected. From this data it is possible to conclude that, in addition to the role played by variables like IQ, educational level, mother's occupational level and ego strength during childhood, defence mechanisms (e.g., intellectualization, dissociation, sublimation and anticipation) also contribute to explain how children from lower social classes are able to progress socially.

Defence mechanisms and psychopathology. Noam and Recklitis (1990), working with a sample of adolescents of both sexes while staving at a psychiatric hospital for assessment and treatment. coupled defences evaluation with psychiatric symptoms evaluation according to Achenbach and Edelbrock (1983, 1987). This option divides the psychological and behavioural problematics of adolescents in two different types: internalizing and externalizing. It was concluded that externalizing symptoms is statistically associated with defences that "place" the psychic conflict outside of the individual (TAO and PRO), while interiorizing symptoms is statistically associated with defences that "place" the psychic conflict inside the individual (TAS). Adolescents at psychotherapeutic consultation were found to have a prevalence of immature defences, even when their global functioning pointed to a slight or moderate degree of disorder (Finicelli, Colombo & Tomasoni, 2003). Among adolescent psychiatric patients, defences that placed conflict sources outside the self were related with higher levels of self-reported aggression while assault incidents taking place at the hospital were related to a lack of cognitive based defences (Recklitis & Noam, 2004). Chabrol and Leichsenring (2006) found strong associations between primitive defence mechanisms and psychopathic traits of callousness and impulsivity. Among adolescent patients admitted for psychiatric treatment, those with obsessive-compulsive disorder showed to use regression, reaction formation and undoing more frequently than adolescents in other psychiatric groups (Shoval, Zalsman, Sher, Apter & Weizman, 2006).

Defence mechanisms and eating disorders. Female adolescents affected by partial anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa are prone to use more immature defences and more neurotic defences than adolescents without eating disorder (Stein, Bronstein & Weizman, 2003).

Defence mechanisms and behaviour problems. Projection seems to be a good predictor of aggression and denial is a potential protective resource against suicide attempts among adolescents at risk (Wiebe, 2008). Adolescents with conduct disorder are prone to use immature defences like denial while adolescents selected by adjustment reaction are prone to use mature defences like identification (Cramer, 2004). Comparing adolescents with conduct disorders, patients with borderline personality disorder and prisoners with antisocial personality disorder, La Grutta et al. (2006) found that defensive strategies differed among groups.

Defence mechanisms and psychological development. Phebe Cramer (1987) tested the hypothesis that defence mechanisms emerge and develop in different moments of human development. Using four samples with different ages (G1- M=5 years and 8 months; G2- M=9 years and 10 months; G3- M=14 years and 6 months; G4- M=16 years) and a TAT specific scoring system for detection of some defence mechanisms, it was concluded that: in G1, negation and projection are used in much higher frequency than identification; in G2 and in G3, projection and identification are used much more frequently than negation and, finally, in G4 identification is used much more fre-

quently than projection, and in addition it could be observed that projection was used much more frequently than negation. Working with adolescents' responses to the TAT, Porcerelli, Thomas, Hibbard and Cogan (1998) observed that denial and projection decreases with educational grade, and the opposite happens with identification. Using the Comprehensive Assessment of Defense Style, Laor, Wolmer and Cicchetti (2001) found that, while compared to children, adolescents tend to use more mature defences and fewer other-oriented defences. This conclusion was recently reinforced by Ye, Ling and Xiong (2010).

Defence mechanisms and sexual identity. Comparing adolescents from both sexes. Phebe Cramer (1979) reached the conclusion that they can be differentiated by preferences for some defence mechanisms, according to Erikson's hypothesis (1964) which states that male individuals tend to externalize their reaction to intrapsychic conflict while female individuals tend to internalize. Male subjects not results significantly higher at TAO (mechanisms that direct the aggressive impulse against the frustrating object) and PRO scales (mechanisms that project aggression upon the frustrating object) when compared with the results of the female sample that more frequently choose mechanisms represented by scales PRN (mechanisms that separate content from affect, allowing the repression of the last one) and TAS (mechanisms which direct the aggressive impulse against the self). At 1984, Frank, McLaughlin and Crusco studied the relation between sexual attributes and defensive styles among university students (mostly adolescents). Results allowed the observation that defensive styles strongly differentiate between male and female youngsters. These results are very similar with those obtained by Cramer and Carter at 1978, Laor, Wolmer and Cicchetti (2001). using the Comprehensive Assessment of Defense Style, found that girls tended to use more mature defences as well as less other-oriented defences than boys. Similar conclusions were recently achieved by Ye, Ling and Xiong (2010). Psychosexual fixations seem to be related with defence mechanisms, especially sadism which is significantly associated with reversal of the affect and phallic fixation which is significantly associated with projection (Mogami, 2010).

Defence mechanisms and psychotherapy. Cramer and Blatt (1990), working with a sample of patients at hospital for intensive psychotherapeutic treatment (ages ranging from 18 to 29 years old; M = 21) used TAT in order to assess subjects defensive functioning, before and after fifteen months of treatment. This way it could be seen that at the second moment there was a significant decrease in the use of defences assessed by Cramer's method (negation, projection and identification). This change at defensive functioning was significantly correlated with an important diminishing of psychiatric symptoms. Also in 1990, Kachman and Mazer used the DMI to prove the efficacy of the "Rational Emotive Education" (ERE) as a program of mental health prevention for adolescents. Applying the DMI before and after the ERE program, they observed that after the intervention subjects could be differentiated from the control group in four scales of defence mechanisms (TAO, PRN, TAS and REV). These differences suggest that ERE may induce a much healthier and mature use of the ego defensive abilities. Geiser et al. (2005) data showed that: at the beginning of therapy the level of turning against self is high, during the clinical process this level tends to decrease and this decrease is related to an important symptoms decrease.

Narrative performance and defensiveness. According to Nelson, Bein, Huemer, Ryst and Steiner (2009) there is a negative association between narrative immersion (the degree to which the past is retold in chronological sequence) and proneness to avoid negative affects in the form of defensive avoidance.

Homophobia during adolescence. Lewis and White (2009) concluded that idealisation, denial, somatisation and devaluation were the best predictors amongst defence styles for the discrimination between homophobic and non-homophobic adolescent males, lending strong support to the idea that immature defences play an important role at the origin of that problem.

Defence mechanisms and addiction. In adolescents studied according to substance use, defensiveness presented a very important association with poorer school credits as well as with legal and discipline troubles for defensive males (James, Lonczack & Moore, 1996).

Defence mechanisms and cross-cultural differences. James Martin (1977), comparing white and Indian adolescents, observed that there are at least two kinds of defensive preferences that can discriminate between the two samples: while the white adolescents choose more frequently mechanisms that deal with conflict directing the aggressive impulse against the relational object (TAO), Indian adolescents choose more frequently mechanisms that deal with conflict generating positive or neutral responses (REV). Banks and Juni (1991) working with a sample of African-American and Hispanic youths found an important trend for these subjects towards the internalizing polarity of the composite measure of the DMI.

THE "DEFENSE MECHANISMS INVENTORY" (GLESER AND IHILEVICH, 1969)

According to its designation, the DMI is an inventory dedicated to obtain a profile of the defensive preferences of the human individual. This test comprises ten stories about someone who is submitted to frustrating experiences. These ten stories are related to five fundamental areas of relational life: authority, independence, competition, masculinity/femininity and situational. About each one of the stories, four questions are made, in order to obtain information on behaviour, fantasy, thought and affection that the subject would experience in case he would be living the stories by him-self. For each one of the four questions, five answers are proposed. Those five answers are related to five scales of defence mechanisms:

TAO (turning against object) - mechanisms that deal with conflict directing aggression towards the frustrating relational object;

PRO (projection) - mechanisms that "place" aggression on the object attributing him negative, threatening or persecutory characteristics;

PRN (principalization) - mechanisms that deal with conflict separating content from affection, repressing the former;

TAS (turning against self) - mechanisms that deal with conflict directing aggression against the self:

REV (reversal) - mechanisms that deal with conflict creating positive or neutral answers while facing frustrating situations.

Facing this group of five answers, the subject must choose which answer he considers to be most close of his personal way of reacting, and at the same time he must choose the answer he considers to be most distant of his way of reacting under similar circumstances. At the moment that the psychologist scores the protocol sheet, answers signed as most close will be scored two points, answers signed as most distant will be scored zero points and answers not signed will be scored one point. Proceeding this way, the five scales of defence mechanisms have a possible minimum of zero points and a possible maximum of eighty points. Taken together these scales allow us to obtain a "defensive profile" and its use can be relevant for clinicians as well as for researchers.

The validity of this test has been studied at numerous investigations. According to Ihilevich and Gleser (1986), these studies obtained favourable results about reliability, stability of results through time as well as about the relation of items with the theoretical constructs. More than that, in the field of clinical intervention, DMI profiles have been studied together with other psychometric instruments (MMPI and 16-PF), presenting results that are consistent with the theory that underlies its creation as well as consistent with the results of the other tests (Ihilevich & Gleser, 1991).

An extensive review of the DMI and of research made with it was published in 1988 by Phebe Cramer wo concluded that scales used in this instrument are meaningfully related to variables such as cognitive, personality, pathological and demographic ones.

THE PORTUGUESE ADAPTATION OF THE DMI ADOLESCENTS' VERSION

After the translation of the adolescents' version of the DMI from its original form into Portuguese language, and its subsequent retroversion into English, minimal differences were found and definitive versions were established with few adaptations due to cultural issues, for both the male and the female sex. These versions were applied to students at schools of Lisbon and surrounding municipalities in order to get a sample of adolescents with ages between thirteen and nineteen years old of both sexes: Alenguer (n = 48, 5.6%); Almeirim (n = 3, 0.4%); Amadora (n = 13, 1.5%); Lisboa (n = 315. 36.7%); Loures (n = 124, 14.4%); Mafra (n = 2, 0.2%); Oeiras (n = 18, 2.1%); Santarém (n = 23, 2.7%); Sintra (n = 80, 9.3%); Sobral do Monte Agraco (n = 98, 11.4%), Vila Franca de Xira (n = 135, 15,7%). At the beginning of the application subjects were inquired about some socio-demographic data (sex, birth date, father's occupation, mother's occupation, place of residence, family at home, education, school, etc.). This way, about sex, we have 442 female subjects and 416 male subiects. In both groups, ages ranged from 13 to 19 years old. In total, our sample comprises 858 adolescents. In what respects to parents' occupation, we can observe that all professional groups of the "Classificação Nacional das Profissões" (IEFP, 2001) are represented. More than that, when compared with the distribution of professional groups at Lisbon District (INE, 2002) we may assume that this sample is not affected by a systematic bias. Nevertheless, among our subjects' mothers, groups 1 and 3 are underrepresented while groups 4, 7, 8 and 9 are overrepresented. Among our subjects' fathers, group 5 is underrepresented but all other groups seem to be represented according to the population distribution.

According to the authors of the DMI (Ihilevich & Gleser, 1986: pp. 53-55) the ipsative format of this instrument induces the subject to a choice where there are only four degrees of freedom. In the principal components analyses performed with an unrotated matrix they found two most important components that explain 77% and 80% of the total variance for male and female subjects, respectively: a) one bipolar component with which TAO and PRO correlate negatively while PRN and REV correlate positively; b) a second component with which TAS correlates negatively. Using a rotated matrix, the authors found four components which explain nearly 100% of the total variance for both male and female subjects: a) a bipolar component with which TAO correlates negatively and REV correlates positively; b) a second component with which TAS correlates positively; c) a third component with which PRO correlates positively and d) a fourth component with which PRN correlates positively.

As can be seen in Table 1, before rotation, principal components analyses of male and female adolescents' data in our sample shows results very similar to those reported by the authors.

			'	'	,		,	
Subjects		Male Adolescents Female Adolescents						
Compon.	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
TA0	895	.035	236	377	904	022	045	424
PR0	780	221	.308	.498	816	135	.092	.554
PRN	.686	448	.515	252	.685	440	.578	055
TAS	.318	.912	.254	.054	.380	.906	.172	.069
REV	.816	152	497	.254	.822	211	520	.097
% of var.	52.905	22.092	14.539	10.417	55.474	21.554	12.898	10.067

TABLE 1: Principal components analyses (unrotated matrix)

Also in Table 2, principal components analyses of male and female adolescents' data after rotation produces results very near to the original ones reported by the authors.

Subjects		Male Add	olescents		Female Adolescents			
Compon.	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
TA0	748	577	325	.036	790	489	365	.063
PR0	286	204	203	.914	306	249	228	.890
PRN	.157	.965	078	194	.194	.955	058	216
TAS	.035	032	.987	154	.059	034	.984	163
REV	.907	.084	084	404	.910	.086	079	399
% of var.	29.777	26.279	22.669	21.228	31.715	24.436	23.272	20.569

TABLE 2: Principal components analyses (varimax rotation)

In short, before rotation we have mainly two components that explain 74.997% of variance in male data, and 77.028% of variance in female data. In both sexes, the first component integrates TAO and PRO with negative correlations and PRN and REV with positive correlations, while the second component integrates TAS. After varimax rotation the first component only integrates TAO and REV, the second component integrates PRN, a third component integrates TAS and the final component integrates PRO.

In Table 3, means, standard deviations, as well as minimum and maximum values are displayed for the five scales of DMI for both male and female adolescents.

Sex		Male Add	olescents		Female Adolescents			
Statistics	М	SD	Min.	Max.	М	SD	Min.	Max.
TAO	39.37	9.27	15.00	66.00	37.43	10.04	9.00	65.00
PR0	40.94	5.54	24.00	57.00	38.92	5.99	24.00	55.00
PRN	46.29	6.17	32.00	61.00	48.23	6.11	32.00	66.00
TAS	35.34	5.39	13.00	52.00	36.57	6.17	18.00	56.00
REV	38.12	8.13	9.00	64.00	38.90	9.04	10.00	66.00

TABLE 3. Ms, SDs, mins. and maxs. for male and female adolescents in DMI scales.

Multiple analysis of variance shows that differences between male and female adolescents for the five scales of the DMI are significant (F = 8.171, p < .000). Looking for differences scale by scale we can find significant differences for all scales except for one: a) TAO (F = 8.675, p < .003); b) PRO (F = 25.013, p < .000); c) PRN (F = 20.698, p < .000); d) TAS (F = 9.787, p < .002) and e) REV (F = 1.615, p < .204). These results allow to say that male adolescents are prone to use TAO and PRO defences while female adolescents are prone to use PRN and TAS defences.

In Table 4, descriptive statistics are displayed for the five DMI scales for the male sex, according to subjects' age.

TABLE 4. Ms and SDs of DMI scales for the male sex, according to age (yo = years old).

DMIScales	TAO		PR0		PRN		TAS		REV	
statistics	M	SD	M	SD	М	SD	М	SD	M	SD
13 yo	39.70	10.9	40.92	6.3	45.08	6.0	36.00	5.4	38.30	10.3
14 yo	41.73	9.0	41.73	4.3	43.23	5.9	34.95	4.6	38.36	7.5
15 yo	40.30	9.9	41.37	4.9	44.42	4.9	35.64	5.4	38.27	8.1
16 yo	39.55	9.2	40.36	6.0	46.27	6.1	35.57	6.0	38.25	9.8
17 yo	40.78	8.7	40.50	5.5	46.64	6.0	35.38	5.8	36.71	7.3
18 yo	37.44	8.7	41.40	5.9	48.22	6.3	35.21	5.5	37.75	7.1
19 yo	36.15	7.9	39.72	5.7	49.74	6.0	35.10	5.1	39.30	7.4

In Table 5, descriptive statistics are displayed for the five DMI scales for the female sex, according to subjects' age.

TABLE 5. Ms and SDs of DMI scales for female sex, according to age (yo = years old).

DMIScales	TAO		PR0		PRN		TAS		REV	
statistics	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD
13 yo	38.52	10.1	39.94	6.2	47.13	5.9	34.76	6.1	39.65	9.8
14 yo	36.26	11.1	39.49	6.1	46.41	6.5	37.64	5.8	40.20	9.4
15 yo	39.07	10.3	38.88	6.4	48.41	6.5	35.71	6.0	37.93	8.6
16 yo	37.64	9.5	38.81	6.2	48.30	5.9	37.24	6.0	38.00	9.0
17 yo	39.11	10.9	38.67	6.1	48.40	6.7	36.28	6.5	37.54	9.0
18 yo	37.43	8.7	38.95	4.7	49.08	5.4	37.20	7.4	37.35	7.6
19 yo	33.78	8.4	37.66	5.7	50.40	5.0	37.07	5.1	41.10	8.3

At Table 6, correlations between DMI scales are displayed for both male and female subjects.

Table 6. Correlation coefficients between DMI scales for male and female subjects.

DMIscales -		M	ale subjec	ts		Female subjects					
	TAO	PR0	PRN	TAS	REV	TAO	PR0	PRN	TAS	REV	
TAO	1	.429*	655*	333*	713*	1	.502*	612*	400*	755*	
PR0		1	403*	342*	628*		1	479*	379*	638*	
PRN			1	073	.308*			1	042	.352*	
TAS				1	.009				1	.038	
REV					1					1	

(*) Correlation is significant at the .01 level (two-tailed).

TAO and PRO always correlate positively and significantly one with the other while their correlations with the other scales are negative at a significant level. PRN and REV also correlate signifi-

cantly in a positive sense. Finally, TAS presents negative significant correlations with TAO and PRO but its correlations with PRN and REV are never significant.

Internal consistency of the DMI scales is far better for TAO (α = .821) and REV (α = .807) than for PRO (α = .567), PRN (α = .679) or for TAS (α = .583.) in what respects to male adolescents data. The same goes for female data with TAO (α = .857) and REV (α = .854) presenting good Cronbach Alphas and PRO (α = .653), PRN (α = .682) and TAS (α = .694) showing poorer results. In order to improve the internal consistency of the scales, we proceeded with the elimination of the worst items and the Alphas rose substantially after that. In male data: TAO raises to α = .837 after deleting items 49, 88, 97 101, 116, 130, 150, 158, 174 and 184; PRO raises to α = .607 after deleting items 19, 22, 27, 47, 52, 82, 86, 99, 144, 161, 179, 181 and 193; PRN raises to α = .713 after deleting items 30, 32, 43, 50, 96, 141, 152 and 172; TAS raises to α = .623 after deleting items 35, 57, 72, 142, 147, 160, 177 and 183; REV raises to α = .827 after deleting items 23, 34, 62, 98, 185 and 192. For female data: TAO raises to α = .862 after deleting items 97, 116, 184 and 198; PRO raises to α = .671 after deleting items 7, 22, 65, 144 and 179; PRN raises to α = .713 after deleting items 30, 43, 50, 54, 103, 129, 141, 152, 172, 178, 182 and 194; TAS raises to α = .714 after deleting items 45, 81, 142, 147, 153, 160, 177, 183 and 197; REV raises to α = .866 after deleting items 62, 98, 124, 185, 189 and 192.

BIBLIOGRAFIA

Achenbach, T., & Edelbrock, C. (1983). *Manual for the Child Behavior Checklist*. Burlington: University of Vermont, Department of Psychiatry. Quoted by Noam, G., & Recklitis, C. (1990).

Achenbach, T., & Edelbrock, C. (1987). *Manual for the Youth Self Report and Profile*. Burlington: University of Vermont, Department of Psychiatry, Quoted by Noam, G., & Recklitis, C. (1990).

Banks, H. C., & Juni, S. (1991). Defense Mechanisms in Minority African-American and Hispanic Youths: Standardization and Scale Reliabilities. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 56*, 327-334.

Belfrage, J. (1978). A Study of the Defensive Styles Associated with Essential Hypertension and Peptic Ulcer. Doctoral Dissertation, York University. Quoted by Ihilevich, D., & Gleser, G. (1986).

Bogo, N., Winget, C., & Gleser, G. (1970). Ego Defenses and Perceptual Styles. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, *30*, 599-605.

Campos, R. C., Besser, A., & Blatt, S. J. (2011). The Relationships Between Defenses and Experiences of Depression: An Exploratory Study. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*, *28*, 196-208.

Chabrol, H., & Leichsenring, F. (2006). Borderline Personality Organization and Psychopathic Traits in Nonclinical Adolescents: Relationships of Identity Diffusion, Primitive Defense Mechanisms and Reality Testing with Callousness and Impulsivity Traits. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic, 70*, 160-170.

Cramer, P. (1979). Defense Mechanisms in Adolescence. *Developmental Psychology, 15*, 476-477. Cramer, P. (1987). The Development of Defense Mechanisms. *Journal of Personality, 55*, 597-614. Cramer, P. (1988). The Defense Mechanism Inventory: A Review of Research and Discussion of the Scales. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 52*, 142-164.

Cramer, P. (2004). Defense Mechanisms in Adolescent Conduct Disorder and Adjustment Reaction. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 192, 139-145.

Cramer, P., & Blatt, S. (1990). Use of the TAT to Measure Change in Defense Mechanisms Following Intensive Psychotherapy. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 54*, 236-251.

Cramer, P., & Carter, T. (1978). The Relationship Between Sexual Identification and the Use of Defense Mechanisms. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 42, 63-73.

Donovan, D., Rohsenow, D., Schan, E., & O'Leary, M. (1977). Defensive Styles in Alcoholics and Nonalcoholics. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 38*, 465-470.

- Drageset, S., & Lindstrom, T. C. (2003). The Mental Health of Women with Suspected Breast Cancer: The Relationship Between Social Support, Anxiety, Coping and Defence in Maintaining Mental Health. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, *10*, 401-409.
- Drageset, S., & Lindstrom, T. C. (2005). Coping with a Possible Breast Cancer Diagnosis: Demographic Factors and Social Support. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *51*, 217-226.
- Erickson, R., Smyth, L., Donovan, D., & O'Leary, M. (1976). Psychopathology and Defensive Style as a Function of Congruence-incongruence Between Psychological Differentiation and Locus of Control. *Psychological Reports*, *39*, 51-54.
- Erikson, E. H. (1964). Inner and Outer Space: Reflections on Womanhood. In Lifton, R. J. (Ed.) *The Woman in America*. Boston: Beacon Press. Quoted by Cramer, P. (1979).
 - Fenichel, O. (1945). *The Psychoanalytic Theory of Neurosis*. New York: Norton.
- Finicelli, C., Colombo, A., & Tomasoni, L. (2003). Valutazione del Funzionamento Globale e dei Meccanismi di Difesa di Adolescenti in Consultazione Psicoterapica Presso il Servizio Sanitario Pubblico. *Minerva Psichiatrica*, 44, 171-184.
- Frank, S., McLaughlin, A., & Crusco, A. (1984). Sex Role Attributes, Symptom Distress, and Defensive Style Among College Men and Women. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 47*, 182-192.
- Freud, A. (1946). *The Ego and the Mechanisms of Defence*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Freud, S. (1894). *The Neuro-psychoses of Defence*. SE, V. III, pp. 45-61. London: Hogarth Press. Freud, S. (1908). *Civilized Sexual Morality and Modern Nervous Illness*. SE, V. IX, pp. 181-204. London: Hogarth Press.
- Freud, S. (1911). *Psycho-analytic Notes on an Autobiographical Account of a Case of Paranoia (Dementia Paranoides).* SE, V. XII, pp. 9-82. London: Hogarth Press.
 - Freud. S. (1915), Instincts and Their Vicissitudes., SE, V, XIV, pp. 117-140, London; Hogarth Press.
 - Freud, S. (1917). *Mourning and Melancholia*. SE, V. XIV, pp. 243-258. London: Hogarth Press.
 - Freud, S. (1920). Beyond the Pleasure Principle. SE, V. XVIII, pp. 7-64. London: Hogarth Press.
 - Freud, S. (1923-a). The Ego and the Id. SE, V. XIX, pp. 12-66. London: Hogarth Press.
 - Freud, S. (1923-b). Negation. SE, V. XIX, pp. 235-239. London: Hogarth Press.
- Freud, S. (1926). *Inhibitions, Symptoms and Anxiety.* SE, V. XX, pp. 87-174. London: Hogarth Press. Geiser, F., Imbierowicz, K., Conrad, R., Wegener, I., & Liedtke, R. (2005). Turning Against Self and its Relation to Symptom Distress, Interpersonal Problems, and Therapy Outcome: A Replicated and Enhanced Study. *Psychotherapy Research*, *15*, 357-365.
- Gleser, G., & Ihilevich, D. (1969). An Objective Instrument for Measuring Defense Mechanisms. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 33*, 51-60.
- Glueck, E. (1966). Distinguishing Delinquents from Pseudodelinquents. *Harvard Educational Review, 36*, 119-130. Quoted by Snarey, J., & Vaillant, G. (1985).
- Glueck, S., & Glueck, E. (1950). *Unravelling Juvenile Delinquency*. New York: Commonwealth Fund. Quoted by Snarey, J., & Vaillant, G. (1985).
- Glueck, S., Glueck, E. (1968). *Delinquents and Non-delinquents in Perspective*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Quoted by Snarey, J., & Vaillant, G. (1985).
- Gormley, B., & Lopez, F. G. (2010). Correlates of Psychological Abuse Perpetration in College Dating Relationships. *Journal of College Counseling*, *13*, 4-16.
- Greenson, R. (1967). *The Technique and Practice of Psychoanalysis*. London: The Hogarth Press and the Institute of Psychoanalysis.
- Gur, E., & Gur, C. (1975). Defense Mechanisms, Psychosomatic Symptomatology and Conjugated Lateral Eye Movements. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 43, 416-420.

- Haan, N. (1965). Coping and Defense Mechanisms Related to Personality Inventories. *Journal of Consulting Psychology, 29*, 373-378.
- Heilbrun, A., & Renert, D. (1988). Psychological Defences and Menstrual Distress. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, *61*, 219-230.
- Helmes, E., McNeill, P. D., Holden, R. R., & Jackson, C. (2008). The Construct of Alexithymia: Associations With Defense Mechanisms. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *64*, 318-331.
- I.E.F.P. (2001). Classificação Nacional das Profissões versão 1994, 2nd Edition. Lisboa: Instituto do Emprego e Formação Profissional.
- Ihilevich, D., & Gleser, G. (1971). Relationship of Defense Mechanisms to Field Dependence-independence. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 77, 296-302.
- Ihilevich, D., & Gleser, G. (1986) "Defense Mechanisms: Their Classification, Correlates and Measurement with the Defense Mechanisms Inventory", DMI Associates, Owosso.
- Ihilevich, D., & Gleser, G. (1991). *Defenses in Psychotherapy: The Clinical Application of the Defense Mechanisms Inventory.* Owosso: DMI Associates.
- I.N.E. (2002). Censos 2001 Resultados definitivos. Região de Lisboa. *Quadro 6.34 População residente empregada segundo grupos de profissões.*
- http://www.ine.pt/xportal/xmain?xpid=INE&xpgid=ine_publicacoes&PUBLICACOESpub_boui=377750&PUBLICACOEStema=55466&PUBLICACOESmodo=2
- James, W. H., Lonczack, H. S., & Moore, D. D. (1996). The Role of Denial and Defensiveness in Drug Use Among Adolescents. *Journal of Child And Adolescent Substance Use, 5*, 17-42.
- Kachman, D., & Mazer, G. (1990). Effects of Rational Emotive Education on the Rationality, Neuroticism and Defense Mechanisms of Adolescents. *Adolescence*, *25*, 131-144.
- Klein, M. (1946). Notes on Some Schizoid Mechanisms. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 27, 99-110.
- Kragh, U. (1960). The Defence Mechanisms Test: A New Method for Diagnosis and Personnnel Selection. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 44, 303-309.
- La Grutta, S., Lo Baido, R., Castelli, M., Marrazo, G., Schiera, G., Gentile, M. C., Sarno, L., & Roccella, M. (2006). Predictive Signs and Indicators of Aggressiveness and Violence: A Comparison between a Group of Adolescents Attending an External Penal Area, a Group of Prisoners, and a Group of Patients With Borderline Personality Disorder. *Minerva Pediatrica*, *58*, 121-129.
- Laor, N., Wolmer, L., & Cicchetti, D. (2001). The Comprehensive Assessment of Defense Style: Measuring Defense Mechanisms in Children and Adolescents. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 189. 360-368.
- Lerner, H., & Lerner, P. (1982). A Comparative Study of Defensive Structure in Neurotic, Borderline and Schizophrenic Patients. *Psychoanalysis and Contemporary Thought, 5,* 77-115.
- Lerner, H., Sugarman, A., & Gaughran, J. (1981). Borderline Schizophrenic Patients: A Comparative Study of Defensive Structure. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *169*, 705-711.
- Lerner, P., & Lerner, H. (1980). Rorschach Assessment of Primitive Defenses in Borderline Personality Structure. In Kwawer, J., Lerner, H., Lerner, P., & Sugarman, A. (Eds.) *Borderline Phenomena and the Rorschach Test (pp. 257-274)*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Lewis, A. J., & White, J. (2009). Brief Report: The Defense Mechanisms of Homophobic Adolescent Males A Descriptive Discriminant Analysis. *Journal of Adolescence*, *32*, 435-441.
- Martin, J. (1977) Choice of Defense Mechanisms by Indian and White Adolescents. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 33*, 1027-1028.
- Meier-Civelli, U., & Stoll, F. (1990). *An Evaluative Study of the Defense Mechanisms Test.* Paper presented at the Defense Scale Network Meeting, Copenhagen.

- Minsky, P. (1978). High Blood Pressure and Interpersonnel Disengagement: A Study of Maladaptive Coping Styles and Ameliorative Treatments. Doctoral Dissertation, Loyola University of Chicago. Quoted by Ihilevich, & Gleser, (1986).
- Mogami, T. (2010). Psychosexual Fixation and Defense Mechanisms in a Sample of Young Japanese Women: A Preliminary Study. *Psychological Reports*, *107*, 79-86.
- Nelson, K. L., Bein, E., Huemer, J., Ryst, E., & Steiner, H. (2009). Listening for Avoidance: Narrative Form and Defensiveness in Adolescent Memories. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 40, 561-573.
- Neuman, T. (1967). *Personlighet och Anpassning til Militar Flying. Forsvars-mekanismer som Stabila Personlighetstrukturer. Tva Studier over D.M.T.-Seriers Reliabilitet.,* Stockolm: MPI-Rapport 52. Quoted by Meier-Civelli, U., & Stoll, F. (1990).
- Neuman, T. (1978). Dimenmsionering och Validering av Percept-genesens Forsvarsmekanismer. En Hierarkisk Analys mot Pilotens Stress-beteende. *FOA, Rapport C 55020-h6.* Stockolm: *Forsvarets Forskningsanstalt.* Quoted by Meier-Civelli, U., & Stoll, F. (1990).
- Nickel, R. (2006). Psychological Defense Styles, Childhood Adversities and Psychopathology in Adulthood. *The International Journal of Child Abuse and Neglect, 30*, 157-170.
- Noam, G., & Recklitis, C. (1990). The Relationship Between Defenses and Symptoms in Adolescent Psychopathology. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 54*, 311-327.
- O'Leary, M., Donovan, D., & Hague, W. (1975). Relationship Between Locus of Control and Defensive Styles Among Alcoholics. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 31*, 362-363.
- O'Leary, M., Donovan, D., & Kasner, K. (1975). Shifts in Perceptual Differentiation and Defense Mechanisms in Alcoholics. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *31*, 565-567.
- Olff, M., Godaert, G., & Ursin, M. (Eds.) (1991). *Quantification of Human Defence Mechanisms*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Olson, T. R. (2010). Can Defense Mechanisms Aid in the Differentiation of Depression and Anxiety? *Dissertation Abstracts International. Section B: The Sciences and the Engineering, 71, (3-B)*, 2057.
- Peglar, M., & Borgen, F. (1984). The Defense Mechanisms of Coronary Patients. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 40, 669-679.
- Porcerelli, J. H., Thomas, S., Hibbard, S., & Cogan, R. (1998). Defense Mechanisms Development in Children, Adolescents and Late Adolescents. *Journal of Personality Research*, 71, 411-420.
- Ragio, B., & Ercolani, A. P. (2009). Burnout in Healthcare Workers. *Giornale Italiano diPsicopatologia*, 15, 390-394.
- Recklitis, C. J., & Noam, G. G. (2004). Aggressive Behavior in the Adolescent Psychiatric Patient: A Clinical-Developmental Perspective. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development, 34*, 341-361.
- Saitner, B. (1991). Applications of the DMT for Assessing Serious Drinking and Driving Offenders. In Olff, M., Godaert, G., Ursin, M. (Eds.) *Quantification of human defence mechanisms* (pp. 238-251). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Schafer, R. (1968). The Mechanisms of Defence. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis*, 49, 49-62.
- Shoval, G., Zalsman, G, Sher, L., Apter, A., & Weizman, A. (2006). Clinical Characteristics Of Inpatient Adolescents With Severe Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. *Depression and Anxiety*, 23, 62-70.
- Snarey, J., & Vaillant, G. (1985). How Lower-and Working-class Youth Become Middle-class Adults: The Association Between Ego Defense Mechanisms and Upward Social Mobility", *Child Development*, 56, 899-910.
- Stein, D., Bronstein, Y., & Weizman, A. (2003). Defense mechanisms in a community-based sample of female adolescents with partial eating disorders. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, *33*, 343-355.

Steiner, H., Higgs, C., Fritz, G., Lazlo, G., & Harvey, J., (1987). Defense Style and the Perception of Asthma. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 49, 35-44.

Sugerman, A., Sheldon, J., & Roth, C. (1975). Defense Mechanisms in Men and Women Alcoholics. *Journal of Studies in Alcohol. 36*, 422-424.

Sundbom, E., Kullgren, G., & Armelius, B. (1989). Psychodynamic Features in Borderline Personality Disorder Identified by a Subliminal Test, the Defence Mechanism Test. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 80. 101-105.

Termoelen, J., & Johansen, O. (1980). *Om Anvendelsen af Defence Mechanism Test (DMT) som Prognostiskt Instrument i Forbindelse med Antagelse af Flyveelever till Flyvevabnet.* Copenhagen: Danish Military Psychological Service. Quoted by Meier-Civelli, U., & Stoll, F. (1990).

Torjussen, T., & Vaernes, R. (1990). *The Use of the Defence Mechanism Test (DMT) in Norway for Selection and Stress Research.* Paper presented to the Defense Scale Network Meeting, Copenhagen, 1990.

Vaernes, R. (1982). The Defence Mechanism Test Predicts Inadequate Performance Under Stress. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 23*, 34-43. Quoted by Torjussen, T., & Vaernes, R. (1990).

Vaernes, R., Warncke, M., Eidsvik, S., Aakvaag, A., Tonder, O., & Ursin, H. (1987). Relationships Between Perceived Health and Psychological Factors Among Submarine Personnel: Endocrine and Immunological Effects. In Marroni, A., & Oriana, G. (Eds.) *Diving and hiperbaric medicine*. Palermo: Eubs. Quoted by Torjussen, T., & Vaernes, R. (1990).

Vaernes, R., Warncke, M., Myrhe, G., & Aakvaag, A. (1988). Stress and Performance During a Simulated Flight in a F16-simulator. *Proceedings of the NATO Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development (AGARD), 11,* 1-9. Quoted by Torjussen, T., & Vaernes, R. (1990).

Vaillant, G. (1971). Theoretical Hierarchy of Adaptive Ego Mechanisms. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 24, 107-118.

Vaillant, G. (1976). Natural History of Male Psychological Health. V. The Relation of Choice of Ego Mechanisms of Defense to Adult Adjustment. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 33, 535-545.

Vaillant, G. (1983). Childhood Environment and Maturity of Defense Mechanisms". In Magnusson, D., & Allan, V. (Eds.) *Human Development: An Interactional Perspective (pp.343-352)*. New York: Academic Press.

Wiebe, V. J. (2008). Parent-child Attachment and Defense Mechanisms: A Developmental Perspective on Risk Taking Behavior in a Clinical Sample of Adolescents. *Dissertations Abstracts International, Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 68*(7-B), 4869.

Witkin, H. (1965). Psychological Differentiation and Forms of Pathology. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 70*, 317-336.

Witkin, H., & Goodenough, D. (1977). *Field Dependence-independence Revisited*. Princeton: Educational Service Testing. Quoted by Ihilevich, D., & Gleser, G. (1986).

Witkin, H., Lewis, H., & Weil, F. (1968). Affective Reactions and Patient Therapist Interactions Among More Differentiated and Less Differentiated Patients Early in Therapy. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *146*, 193-208.

Ye, L., Ling, H., & Xiong, L. (2010). Research on the Defense Style of Adolescents. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 18, 504-506.

Zoccalli, R., Bellinghieri, G., Mallamace, A., Muscatello, M. R., Bruno, A., Santoro, D., Mallamace, D., Barrilá, G. P., Scardamaglia, P., La Torre, D., & Meduri, M. (2006). Defense Mechansisms in Hemodialysis-dependent Patients. *Clinical Nephrology*, 65, 119-123.

Zoccalli, R., Bruno, A., Muscatello, M. R., Micó, U., Corica, F., & Meduri, M. (2008). Defense Mechansisms in a Sample of Non-psychiatric Obese Subjects. *Eating Behaviors,9*, 120-123.