

Relation between Substance Use and Depression among Spanish Adolescents

José P. Espada^{*1}, Steve Sussman², Tania B. Huedo Medina³ and José P. Alfonso¹

¹Universidad Miguel Hernández, España ²University of Southern California, USA

³University of Connecticut, USA

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to analyze the consumption of alcohol, tobacco and cannabis in relation to the existence of depressive symptoms in a school sample of adolescents. The incidental sample ($N= 707$) was composed by students from 1st to 4th year of high school (mean age= 14 years old). Univariate and multivariate predictive models were established by logistic regression analysis. Depressive symptoms were higher among tobacco users ($OR= 0.93$, 95% CI 0.90-0.95), alcohol users ($OR= 0.94$, 95% CI 0.92-0.96) and cannabis users ($OR= 0.95$, 95% CI 0.92-0.98), without affecting the frequency of consumption. The results suggest the coexistence of factors of substance use and depressive symptoms. High scores in depression are associated with the consumption of tobacco and alcohol but not to cannabis use. For all the evaluated substances, depression is a variable linked to any use but not for the frequency of use. The role of depressive symptoms as a factor related to substance use of and its implications in the development of preventive programs are discussed. *Key words:* adolescence, alcohol, tobacco, cannabis, depression, risk factors.

RESUMEN

El objetivo de este estudio fue analizar el consumo de alcohol, tabaco y cannabis y su relación con los síntomas depresivos en una muestra escolar de adolescentes. La muestra incidental ($N= 707$) estuvo compuesta por alumnos de 1º a 4º de Secundaria (edad media= 14 años). Se establecieron modelos predictivos univariados y multivariados mediante análisis de regresión logística. Los síntomas depresivos fueron más elevados entre los consumidores de tabaco ($OR= 0,93$, IC 95% 0,90-0,95), alcohol ($OR= 0,94$, IC 95% 0,92-0,96) y cannabis ($OR = 0,95$, IC 95% 0,92-0,98), sin que afectara la frecuencia de consumo. Los resultados sugieren la coexistencia de factores de consumo de sustancias y los síntomas depresivos. Las puntuaciones altas en depresión están asociadas al consumo de tabaco y alcohol, pero no al consumo de cannabis. En todas las sustancias evaluadas la depresión es una variable relacionada con el consumo, pero no con su frecuencia o intensidad. Se discute el papel de la depresión como variable relacionada con el consumo de sustancias y sus implicaciones en el desarrollo de programas de prevención.

Palabras clave: adolescentes, alcohol, tabaco, cannabis, depresión, factores de riesgo.

* Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to the first author: Departamento de Psicología de la Salud, Universidad Miguel Hernández, Avda. de la Universidad s/n, Elche, 03202 Alicante), España. E-mail: jpespada@umh.es

The availability and social acceptance of alcohol, cannabis and tobacco have contributed to the fact that these substances are the most used among the adolescent population in Spain. Studies on risk factors include affective disorders as a variable that increases the likelihood of substance use (Espada, Méndez, Griffin, & Botvin, 2003; Galaif, Sussman, Chou, & Wills, 2003; Inglés, Delgado, Bautista, *et al.*, 2006).

Substance use has been linked to some kind of emotional distress prior to consumption (Huba, Newcomb, & Bentler, 1986; Kaplan, 1985). In a sample of young Americans, Shedler, and Block (1990) observed that marijuana users had more emotional problems during childhood. In the same vein, in a 5-year longitudinal study of adolescents, Lerner and Vicary (1984) observed the relation between a difficult temperament, including frequent negative moods and social isolation, and the initiation and continuance of substance use. Moods and negative responses to isolation by difficult children could be similar to the depression and social alienation often expressed by substance users (Knight, Sheposh, & Bryson, 1974; Paton & Kandel, 1978; Paton, Kessler, & Kandel, 1977; Smith & Fogg, 1978). Substance use is often used as a method to relieve emotional problems (Aneshensel & Huba, 1983; Labouvie, 1996), although its effects are not very durable or effective in the long term, as consumption tends to enhance depressive symptoms (Bleichmar, 1994; Calafat & Amengual, 1991).

In Spain, Becoña, Lista and Froján (1989) studied the relation between negative moods and relapse in the use of tobacco, noting that 29.4% of relapses were related to experiencing negative emotional states, which is one of the most frequently identified causes (Becoña & Míguez, 1995). Barnea, Teichman, and Rahav (1992) conducted a longitudinal study with 1,446 students between 15 and 19 to analyze the relation between availability of substances and a consumption model incorporating mood variables. It was found that the presence of depression was not statistically significant in participants using alcohol and other substances. This result coincides with those obtained by Schwarz, Burkhart, and Green (1982), and by Lopez and Freixinós (2001) from a Spanish sample. However, other studies have found a significant relation between alcohol or other substance use and high levels of anxiety and depression (eg., Brook, Walfish, Stenmark, & Cange, 1981; Hanna, Yi, Dufour, & Whitmore, 2001; Shedler & Block, 1990).

However, the relation between depressive symptoms and the substance use is not entirely clear, neither is there any clear evidence of whether it is the mental problem or the high risk of substance use which comes first, or whether all substances have the same emotional connection with the problem (Ross, 2004).

Although the data found in previous studies are contradictory, a low level of personal satisfaction prior to consumption is generally observed, which could explain the high incidence of mood disorders and suicide among adolescent users (Crumley, 1990; Kaminer, 1991; Newcomb & Bentler, 1988; Newcomb, Maddahian, & Bentler, 1986; Ruiz, Lozano, & Polaino, 1994; Stowell & Estroff, 1992).

Despite the existence of research that seeks to identify the factors which predispose initiation in substance use and subsequent abuse, the results are very controversial. Furthermore, in order to develop explanatory models for a more complete understanding of the phenomenon, which would lead to the construction of effective prevention programs, there is a need for more studies which focus on the relation between levels

of depression and both substance use in adolescence and frequency of use and also distinguish between legal and illegal substances.

The main objective of this study was to analyze adolescent use of two legal substances, alcohol and tobacco, and an illegal one, cannabis, on the basis of the existence of depressive symptoms in order to determine the predictive capacity of these symptoms in relation to the use of each substance and the quantity consumed. Higher levels of depression were expected to be found among teenagers consuming substances than non-consumers. An additional objective was to study the effect of socio-demographic characteristics on depressive symptoms and substance use, such as gender and age.

METHOD

Participants

The incidental sample was made up of all the students enrolled in public schools from 1st to 4th grade of Secondary Education in a medium city (Elche, Alicante, Spain). Eight hundred and thirty three students were recruited, 126 cases were excluded due to incomplete data or poor execution. The sample finally consisted of 707 adolescents (365 boys and 342 girls) with ages ranging between 11 and 16 and an average of 14.01 ($SD= 1.33$). One hundred and eighty-one adolescents (25.6%) were students of 1st grade of Secondary School, 201 (28.4%) of 2nd grade, 167 (23.6%) of 3rd grade, and 158 (22.3%) of 4th grade.

Most of the students (606) lived with both parents. Seventy-seven subjects lived with their father or their mother alone (10.9%) and 24 (3.2%) with other relatives. The majority of them (87%) had two siblings or less. As for academic level, 504 subjects had not repeated any course (71.3%), 173 students had repeated a grade (24.5%) and 3.5% two years.

Instruments

Substance Use Survey. The survey consisted of 10 items for the frequency of tobacco, alcohol or cannabis use during the last month. The choices for the answers were rated from 1 to 4 depending on the frequency of use. Also the onset age for each of the substances was registered.

Child Depression Inventory (CDI, Kovacs & Beck, 1977). This scale comprises 27 items and assesses the main characteristics of depression: dysphoria, anhedonia, psychophysiological disorders (sleep, fatigue, appetite), self-concept, self-esteem, feelings of guilt, cognitive distortions, indecisiveness and suicidal ideation, as well as its adverse impact on school and social life. Each item has three alternatives ranging from 0 to 2 depending on the severity of symptoms. The total score is calculated by the sum of all the items and varies in a range of scores from 0 to 54. The questionnaire has adequate psychometric properties (Cronbach's alpha between .71 and .94 and test-retest stability between .38 and .87).

Procedure

After informing the heads of schools about the objectives of the study and receiving parents' informed consent, the questionnaires were administered to the students in the classroom. Administration took approximately 45 minutes. The subjects were given homogenous instructions and asked to fill out the questionnaires honestly and confidentiality of data was ensured. The subjects were not paid for their participation. A member of the research team remained in the classroom to answer any queries.

Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS 15.0. The descriptive analysis of the results was the mean and standard deviations for the continuous variables and the range and frequency for the categorical variables. A study was carried out for the prevalence rates of use: at any time, within the last six months (recent) and within the last thirty days (frequent use) for each of the substances. User (coded as 0) and non-user (coded as 1) groups were compared in their ratings of depression and other demographic variables, conducting a t-test for the quantitative variables and a chi-square for categorical variables.

The differences between consumers were examined by univariate and multivariate logistic regression, taking into account the possible interactions of individual forecasters with the depression variable, and obtaining effect sizes measured as Odds Ratio (*OR*) and their confidence intervals at 95 % by using Woolf's approximation. Finally, a discrimination analysis was conducted for frequency of use in relation to levels of depression.

RESULTS

The substance with the highest rates of use in the total sample ($N= 707$) was alcohol (38.8%) compared to tobacco (21.8%) and cannabis (11.6%). The earliest average age of onset of consumption corresponded to tobacco, 12.8 years, followed by alcohol, 13.4 years, and cannabis, 13.8 years. The pattern of alcohol use was sporadic, with a rate of weekly users of 7.7%. In the case of tobacco, weekly or daily percentage of use was 8.2% and 3.3% respectively. With regard to cannabis use, 3% of them used it monthly and 3% weekly.

Table 1 describes the effect sizes (*OR*) and the related statistical analysis of each group in terms of consumption (coded as 0) or non consumption of tobacco (coded as 1). Data relative to frequency are presented in Table 2. The average age of smokers was significantly higher than that of non-smokers ($OR= 0.48$; CI 95% 0.41-0.57, $p <.01$). Teenagers who smoke were more likely to live with both parents compared with non-smokers ($OR= 0.60$, CI 95% 0.38-0.97, $p <.01$). Regarding gender (female coded as 0 and male coded as 1), there was a greater likelihood of there being a male among non-smokers than among smokers ($OR= 1.46$, CI 95% 1.02-2.10, $p <.05$). The number

of siblings was not significant when comparing smokers to nonsmokers, the trend was that both groups usually had 1 or 2 siblings ($OR= 0.48$, CI 95% 0.22-1.05, $p >.05$).

Smokers had higher scores on the CDI scale than non-smokers ($OR= 0.93$, CI 95% 0.90-0.95, $p <.01$). The use of alcohol and cannabis were also associated to tobacco use, ($OR= 22.72$, CI 95% 13.40-38.52, $p <.01$ and $OR= 88.82$, CI 95% 37.42-210.82, $p <.01$, respectively). When the effect of depression was controlled by the rest of the socio-demographic factors, gender, alcohol use, and coexistence no longer had a significant impact on tobacco use. However, depression, age and cannabis use were still significant in the multivariate model, following the same pattern as they did in the univariate model. The interaction between alcohol and depression scores was also significant in the multivariate model, the participants who smoked had higher scores for depression and usually drank alcohol ($OR= 0.87$, $p <.01$. The model explained 61% of the variance (Nagelkerke R2).

The frequency of tobacco use was affected significantly by age ($\tau Kendall= .165$, $p <.05$), alcohol and cannabis use ($\chi^2= 8.2$, $p <.05$; $\chi^2= 28.87$, $p <.01$, respectively), and the frequency of consumption. The higher the use of alcohol and cannabis, the higher the use of tobacco ($\chi^2= 29.74$, $p <.01$; $\chi^2= 76.64$, $p <.01$ for alcohol and cannabis, respectively).

The average age of teenagers who drink was significantly higher than for those who did not ($OR= 0.45$, CI 95% 0.39-0.52, $p <.01$) (Table 3). Although, gender and coexistence were not significant for alcohol use, the trends were similar to those who smoked. Alcohol drinkers were more likely to live with both parents compared to those who did not ($OR= 0.95$, CI 95% 0.62-1.47, $p <.01$) regardless of gender. There was more likely to be a male among non-drinkers than among drinkers, although it was not a significant difference ($OR= 1.28$, CI 95% 0.94-1.73, $p >.05$). The number of siblings was not significant either, although it was more usual for them to have between 1 and 2 ($OR= 0.83$, CI 95% 0.41-1.65, $p >.05$).

Table 1. Depression and socio-demographic factors in predicting tobacco use.

| | | Smokers (N= 154) M (SD) | Non-smokers (N= 553) M (SD) | OR | 95% CI |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Age | | 14.91 (1.24) | 13.76 (1.24) | 0.48 | 0.41-0.57** |
| Depression | | 13.56 (6.60) | 10.45 (6.16) | 0.93 | 0.90-0.95** |
| Gender | Boys | 68 (44.2%) | 297 (53.7) | 1.46 | 1.02-2.10* |
| | Girls | 86 (55.8) | 256 (46.3) | | |
| N° siblings | 0 | 17 (11) | 36 (6.5) | ^a | |
| | 1 or more | 120 (77.9) | 442 (79.9) | 0.48 | 0.22-1.05 |
| | 3 or more | 17 (11) | 75 (11) | 0.84 | 0.47-1.47 |
| Coexistence | With both parents | 124 (80.5) | 482 (87.2) | 0.60 | 0.38-0.97** |
| | With one parent or others | 30 (19.5) | 71 (12.8) | | |
| Alcohol use | Yes | 136 (88.3) | 138 (25) | 22.72 | 13.40-38.52** |
| | No | 18 (11.7) | 415 (75) | | |
| Cannabis use | Yes | 76 (49.4) | 6 (1.1) | 88.82 | 37.42-210.82** |
| | No | 78 (50.6) | 547 (98.9) | | |

* $p <.05$; ** $p <.01$; N= sample size; M= Mean; SD= Standard Deviation; ^aComparison group; %= Percentage of subjects by columns; OR= Odds Ratio; CI= Confidence Interval.

Table 2. Depression and socio-demographic factors in the distribution of tobacco use.

| Variables | | Frequency of tobacco use (N° of cigarettes) | | | | $\tau_{Kendall}$ |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | | 1-2 / month (N = 73) | 5-10 / week (N = 39) | 10-20 / week (N = 19) | > 10 / day (N = 23) | |
| | | <i>M (SD)</i> | <i>M (SD)</i> | <i>M (SD)</i> | <i>M (SD)</i> | |
| Age | | 14.67 (1.33) | 14.92 (1.11) | 15.32 (1.16) | 14.01 (1.06) | 0.165* |
| Depression | | 12.67 (6.33) | 15.39 (7.77) | 12.58 (4.44) | 14.09 (6.44) | 0.084 |
| | | <i>N (%)</i> | <i>N (%)</i> | <i>N (%)</i> | <i>N (%)</i> | χ^2 |
| Gender | Boys | 34 (50) | 15 (22.1) | 10 (14.7) | 9 (13.2) | 1.47 |
| | Girls | 39 (45.3) | 24 (27.9) | 9 (10.5) | 14 (16.3) | |
| N° siblings | 0 | 11 (64.7) | 4 (23.5) | 0 (0) | 2 (11.8) | 5.2 |
| | 1 or 2 | 54 (45) | 30 (25) | 16 (13.3) | 20 (16.7) | |
| | 3 or more | 8 (47.1) | 5 (29.4) | 3 (17.6) | 1 (5.9) | |
| Coexistence | With both parents | 60 (48.4) | 30 (24.2) | 15 (12.1) | 19 (15.3) | .54 |
| | With others | 13 (43.3) | 9 (30) | 4 (13.3) | 4 (13.3) | |
| Alcohol use | Yes | 60 (44.1) | 34 (25) | 19 (14) | 23 (16.9) | 8.2* |
| | No | 13 (72.2) | 5 (27.8) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | |
| Cannabis use | Yes | 23 (30.3) | 18 (23.7) | 16 (21.1) | 19 (25) | 28.87** |
| | No | 50 (64.1) | 21 (26.9) | 3 (3.8) | 4 (5.1) | |
| Frequency of alcohol use (glasses) | 1 / month | 39 (63.9) | 15 (24.6) | 4 (6.6) | 3 (4.9) | 29.74** |
| | 2-3 / month | 23 (44.2) | 15 (28.8) | 7 (13.5) | 7 (13.5) | |
| | 1-2 / week | 5 (22.7) | 8 (36.4) | 4 (18.2) | 5 (22.7) | |
| | > 3 / week | 6 (31.6) | 1 (5.3) | 4 (21.1) | 8 (42.1) | |
| Frequency of cannabis use (times) | 1 / month | 68 (59.6) | 29 (25.4) | 11 (9.6) | 6 (5.3) | 76.64** |
| | 2-3 / month | 5 (25) | 5 (25) | 7 (35) | 3 (15) | |
| | 1-2 / week | 0 (0) | 1 (12.5) | 0 (0) | 7 (87.5) | |
| | > 3 / week | 0 (0) | 4 (33.3) | 1 (8.3) | 7 (58.3) | |

* $p < .05$; ** $p_2 < .01$; N = sample size; M = Mean; SD = Standard Deviation; $\tau_{Kendall}$ = Kendall's tau; %= Percentage of subjects by columns; χ^2 = Chi-square.

Table 3. Depression and socio-demographic factors in predicting alcohol use.

| | | Alcohol users (N= 274) | Alcohol non-users (N= 433) | <i>OR</i> | <i>95% CI</i> |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | <i>M (SD)</i> | <i>M (SD)</i> | | |
| Age | | 14.74 (1.16) | 13.54 (1.21) | 0.45 | 0.39-0.52** |
| Depression | | 12.57 (6.52) | 10.20 (6.12) | 0.94 | 0.92-0.96** |
| | | <i>N (%)</i> | <i>N (%)</i> | | |
| Gender | Boys | 131 (47.8) | 234 (54) | 1.28 | 0.94-1.73 |
| | Girls | 143 (52.2) | 199 (46) | | |
| N° siblings | 0 | 22 (8) | 31 (7.2) | ^a | |
| | 1 or 2 | 218 (79.6) | 344 (79.4) | 0.83 | 0.41-1.65 |
| | 3 or more | 34 (12.4) | 58 (13.4) | 0.92 | 0.59-1.46 |
| Coexistence | Both parents | 234 (38.6) | 40 (39.6) | 0.95 | 0.62-1.47 |
| | One parent or others | 372 (61.3) | 61 (60.4) | | |
| Tobacco use | Yes | 136 (49.6) | 18 (4.2) | 22.72 | 13.30-38.52** |
| | No | 138 (50.4) | 415 (95.8) | | |
| Cannabis use | Yes | 79 (28.8) | 3 (0.7) | 58.06 | 18.10-186.20** |
| | No | 195 (71.2) | 430 (99.3) | | |

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; N = sample size; M = Mean; SD = Standard Deviation; ^a Comparison group; %= Percentage of subjects by columns; OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval.

Depressive symptoms appeared related to alcohol use significantly, i.e., users tended to score higher in depression than non-users ($OR= 0.94$, CI 95% 0.92-0.96, $p <.01$). Alcohol consumption was closely and significantly related to tobacco and cannabis use ($OR= 22.72$, CI 95% 13.30-38.52, $p <.01$ and $OR= 58.06$, CI 95% 18.10-186.20, $p <.01$, respectively). By integrating all the significant variables in a model all of them were still significant without having any significant interaction with the scores for depression. The model explained 48% of the variance (R^2 Nagelkerke).

As shown in Table 4, the frequency of alcohol use was related to age, older subjects had higher tobacco use ($\tau_{Kendall}= 0.199$, $p <.01$), and to the consumption of tobacco ($\chi^2= 31.85$, $p <.01$), and cannabis ($\chi^2= 48.65$, $p <.01$), as well as frequency of use (see Table 4). The higher the use of these two substances, the higher the use of alcohol ($\chi^2= 51.79$, $p <.01$ and $\chi^2= 59.46$, $p <.01$ for tobacco and cannabis, respectively).

Depressive symptoms were also associated with cannabis use, i.e., users tended to score higher on the depression scale than non-users ($OR= 0.95$, CI 95%, 0.92-0.98, $p <.01$). The average age of those who consumed was significantly higher than those who did not ($OR= 0.46$, CI 95%, 0.37-0.56, $p <.01$). There were no significant relations with gender, coexistence and number of siblings, however, the trends followed the same pattern as they did for smokers and alcohol drinkers. Adolescents who used cannabis were more likely to live with both parents compared with those who did not ($OR= 0.87$, CI 95%, 0.46-1.64, $p >.05$). In terms of gender, males were more likely to be among those who used cannabis than among those who did not ($OR= 1.13$, CI 95%, 0.71-1.80, $p >.05$), and, finally, they usually had between 1 and 2 siblings ($OR= 0.96$, CI 95%,

Table 4. Depression and socio-demographic factors in the distribution of alcohol use.

| Variables | | Frequency of alcohol use (number of glasses) | | | | $\tau_{Kendall}$ |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | 1 / month (N= 132) | 2-3 / month (N= 86) | 1-2 / week (N=30) | >3 / week (N= 26) | |
| | | M (SD) | M (SD) | M (SD) | M (SD) | |
| Age | | 14.48 (1.18) | 14.89 (1.03) | 15.10 (1.21) | 15.19 (1.20) | .199** |
| Depression | | 12.28 (7.07) | 12.35 (5.96) | 14 (6.56) | 13.19 (5.35) | .082 |
| | | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) | χ^2 |
| Gender | Boys | 65 (49.6) | 39 (29.8) | 16 (12.2) | 11 (8.4) | 1.0 |
| | Girls | 67 (46.9) | 47 (32.9) | 14 (9.8) | 15 (10.5) | |
| N° siblings | 0 | 13 (59.1) | 5 (22.7) | 2 (9.1) | 2 (9.1) | 5.68 |
| | 1 or 2 | 104 (47.7) | 73 (33.5) | 21 (9.6) | 20 (9.2) | |
| | 3 or more | 15 (44.1) | 8 (23.5) | 7 (20.6) | 4 (11.8) | |
| Coexistence | With both parents | 116 (49.6) | 71 (30.3) | 26 (11.1) | 21 (9) | 1.69 |
| | With others | 16 (40) | 15 (37.5) | 4 (10) | 5 (12.5) | |
| Tobacco use | Yes | 43(31.6) | 52(38.2) | 22(16.2) | 19(14) | 31.85** |
| | No | 89(64.5) | 34(24.6) | 8(5.8) | 7(5.1) | |
| Cannabis use | Yes | 17(21.5) | 27(34.2) | 17(21.5) | 18(22.8) | 48.65** |
| | No | 115(59) | 59(30.3) | 13(6.7) | 8(4.1) | |
| Frequency of tobacco use (cigarettes) | 1-2 / month | 115(58.1) | 57(28.8) | 13(6.6) | 13(6.6) | 51.79** |
| | 5-10 / week | 10(29.4) | 15(44.1) | 8(23.5) | 1(2.9) | |
| | 10-20 / week | 4(21.1) | 7(36.8) | 4(21.1) | 4(21.1) | |
| | > 10 / day | 3(13) | 7(30.4) | 5(21.7) | 8(34.8) | |
| Cannabis frequency of use (times) | 1 / month | 129(55.6) | 72(31) | 17(7.3) | 14(6) | 59.46** |
| | 2-3 / month | 3(14.3) | 7(33.3) | 7(33.3) | 4(19) | |
| | 1-2 / week | 0(0) | 3(37.5) | 2(25) | 3(37.5) | |
| | > 3 / week | 0(0) | 4(30.8) | 4(30.8) | 5(38.5) | |

* $p <.05$; ** $p <.01$; N= sample size; M= Mean; SD= Standard Deviation; $\tau_{Kendall}$ = Kendall's tau; %= Percentage of subjects by columns. χ^2 = Chi-square.

$p > .05$). Once again, the use of tobacco and alcohol was significantly related to cannabis use ($OR = 88.82$, CI 95% 37.2-210.8, $p < .01$ and $OR = 58.06$, CI 95% 18.10-186.2, $p < .01$, respectively). By integrating the four significant variables in a model, the score on the scale of depression becomes a significant factor in predicting cannabis use as well as any interaction of that variable with the other four factors which were included in the model. The model explained 58% of the variance (Nagelkerke R^2) (Table 5).

As for the frequency of substance use, the variables that best discriminated between the different groups for the quantity of cannabis used were age -older subjects consume more- ($\tau Kendall = .218$, $p < .05$), frequency of tobacco use ($\chi^2 = 19.4$, $p < .05$) and alcohol use ($\chi^2 = 41.7$, $p < .01$), the higher the use of these substances, the higher the use of cannabis.

Table 5. Depression and socio-demographic variables in predicting cannabis use.

| | | Cannabis users ($N = 82$) | Cannabis non-users ($N = 625$) | OR | 95% CI |
|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------|---------------|
| | | M (SD) | M (SD) | | |
| Age | | 15.10 (1.16) | 13.86 (1.28) | 0.46 | 0.37-0.56** |
| Depression | | 13.19 (6.85) | 10.85 (6.27) | 0.95 | 0.92-0.98** |
| | | N (%) | N (%) | | |
| Gender | Boys | 40 (48.8) | 325 (52) | 1.13 | 0.71-1.80 |
| | Girls | 42 (51.2) | 300 (48) | | |
| N° siblings | 0 | 6 (7.3) | 47 (7.5) | a | |
| | 1 or 2 | 66 (80.5) | 496 (79.9) | 0.96 | 0.33-2.80 |
| | 3 or more | 10 (12.2) | 82 (13.1) | 0.97 | 0.45-1.86 |
| Coexistence | With both parents | 69 (84.1) | 537 (85.9) | 0.87 | 0.46-1.64 |
| | With one parent or others | 13 (15.9) | 88 (14.1) | | |
| Tobacco use | Yes | 76 (92.7) | 78 (12.5) | 88.82 | 37.42-210.8** |
| | No | 6 (7.3) | 547 (87.5) | | |
| Alcohol use | Yes | 79 (96.3) | 195 (31.2) | 58.06 | 18.10-186.2** |
| | No | 3 (3.7) | 430 (68.8) | | |

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; N = sample size; M = Mean; SD = Standard Deviation; ^a Comparison group; % = Percentage of subjects by columns; OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval.

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to analyze the relation between the use of three different substances; tobacco, alcohol and cannabis, and the existence of depressive symptoms in a school sample of adolescents. The results on the prevalence of substance use revealed that for all ages, alcohol is the substance with the highest rate of use. This trend is consistent with the national pattern of use (Plan Nacional sobre Drogas, 2007).

The data obtained in the present study concluded that high scores in depression were associated to tobacco and alcohol use but not to cannabis use. Smokers tend to score higher in depression than non-smokers. However, the existence of depressive symptoms was not significant in the amount of tobacco consumed. Depressive symptoms were also associated with the consumption of alcohol, though not significantly correlated

with the quantity and frequency of alcohol use. The association between depressive symptoms and alcohol use is consistent with the findings of previous research (Alva, 1995; Scheier, Botvin, & Baker, 1997).

Literature shows that substance use increases with the severity of depressive symptoms (Kelder *et al.*, 2001). This study did not present any similar significant trends, except for the use of alcohol, where depressive symptoms increased slightly when there was more alcohol use. However, levels of depression decrease when the use is more than 3 drinks a week, being even lower than for those who consume between 1 and 2 drinks a week (the category immediately above).

Contrary to the results found by Milani, Parrott, Turner, and Fox (2004), no significant differences related to gender were found, except for the consumption of tobacco, but they were dispelled when controlled by other socio-demographic factors such as age and the use of other substances. There was not any significant interaction between depression and gender for any of the substance uses. In a previous study, Vázquez, Becoña, & Míguez (2002) found that smokers had higher depressive symptoms than non-smokers. Smokers from the general population, especially those who smoked 31 or more cigarettes a day, were rated higher on the Beck Depression Inventory and the PANAS scale than non-smokers (Becoña, Vázquez, Lorenzo, & Fuentes, 1998).

The relation between alcohol abuse and other substance use confirms the theory of escalation in consumption. Also, the identification of clinically significant depressive symptoms may reduce the onset of alcohol use. It has been noted that depressive symptoms are an important mediator for the initiation of substance use in vulnerable individuals (Fergusson & Woodward, 2002; Goodman & Huang, 2002; Rohde, Lewinsohn, Kahler, Seeley, & Brown, 2002).

In this study is confirmed the fact that depression symptoms is a factor related to the substance use among adolescents (Bleichmar, 1994; Calafat & Amengual, 1991; Elliot, Huizinga, & Ageton, 1985; Huba *et al.*, 1986; Kaplan, 1985). However, the presence of depressive symptoms may be considered also a consequence of the substance use (Muñoz-Rivas & Graña, 2001). Furthermore, the frequent use of alcohol among adolescents is associated with the presence of depressive symptoms (Gleid & Pine, 2002). It has been suggested that alcohol abuse is a symptom of an unidentified depressive disorder (DeMilio, 1989) and it is used as a way of coping with dysphoric moods (Bukstein, Brent, & Kamner, 1989).

From this study it is possible to conclude, firstly, that there is a significant moderator relationship between the report of depressive symptoms and alcohol, tobacco use, and to a lesser extent, cannabis in adolescents. Secondly, this relation is still relevant for the two legal substances, alcohol and tobacco, even when controlled by other demographic factors. Thirdly, for all the evaluated substances, depression is related to any use but not for the frequency of use. And, finally, the early identification of possible cases of depression should be met in preventive programs by including strategies in order to improve mood and prevent negative moods, regardless of the quantity of use of these substances.

The results should be interpreted taking into account the limitations of the study. The sample comes from a single municipality; it would be desirable to replicate the

work with samples from a different geographical origin. Furthermore, depressive mood has been measured through the total score on a depression scale without taking into account the subscales of the test. The aim of this study was limited so as to make an assessment of a general measure of depression; therefore future studies should include a close analysis of depressive symptoms in response to the various dimensions.

REFERENCES

- Alva SA (1995). Psychological distress and alcohol use in Hispanic adolescents. *Journal of Youth Adolescence*, 24, 481-497.
- Aneshensel CS & Huba GJ (1983). Depression, alcohol use, and smoking over one year: A four-wave longitudinal causal model. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 92, 134-150.
- Barnea Z, Teichman M, & Rahav G (1992). Personality, cognitive and interpersonal factors in adolescent substance use: A longitudinal test of an integrative model. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 21, 187-201.
- Becoña E, Lista MJ, & Froján MJ (1989). ¿Por qué los sujetos recaen en los tratamientos para dejar de fumar? Un estudio exploratorio. *Revista Española de Drogodependencias*, 14, 29-36.
- Becoña E & Míguez MC (1995). Abstinencia, recaída y no abandono en un programa para dejar de fumar. *Adicciones*, 7, 41-58.
- Becoña E, Vázquez FL, Fuentes MJ, & Lorenzo MC (1998). Anxiety, affect, depression, and cigarette consumption. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 26, 113-119.
- Bleichmar H (1994). Droga y depresión. Un camino a doble vía. *Proyecto Hombre*, 10, 11-14.
- Brook ML, Walfish S, Stenmark DE, & Canger JM (1981). Personality variables in alcohol abuse in college students. *Journal Drug Education*, 11, 185-189.
- Bukstein OG, Brent DA, & Kaminer Y (1989). Comorbidity of substance abuse and other psychiatric disorders in adolescents. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 146, 1131-1141.
- Calafat A & Amengual M (1991). Depresión, depresividad y toxicomanía. *Adicciones*, 3, 71-75.
- Crumley FE (1990). Substance abuse and adolescent suicidal behavior. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 263, 3051-3056.
- DeMilio L (1989). Psychiatric syndromes in adolescent substance abusers. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 146, 1212-1214.
- Elliot OS, Huizinga DM, & Ageton SS (1985). *Explaining delinquency and drug use*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Espada JP, Méndez FX, Griffin KW, & Botvin GJ (2003). Adolescencia: consumo de alcohol y otras drogas. *Papeles del Psicólogo*, 84, 9-17.
- Fergusson DM & Woodward LJ (2002). Mental health, educational, and social role outcomes of adolescents with depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 59, 225-231.
- Galaif ER, Sussman S, Chou C, & Wills TA (2003). Longitudinal relations among depression, stress, and coping in high risk youth. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 32, 243-258.
- Gleid S & Pine D (2002). Consequences and correlates of adolescent depression. *Archives Pediatrics of Adolescence Medicine*, 156, 1009-1014.
- Goodman E & Huang B (2002). Socioeconomic status, depressive symptoms and adolescent substance use. *Archives Pediatrics of Adolescence Medicine*, 156, 448-453.

- Hanna EZ, Yi H, Dufour M, & Whitmore CC (2001). The relationship of early-onset regular smoking to alcohol use, depression, illicit drug use, and other risky behaviors during early adolescence: Results from the youth supplement to the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Journal of Substance Abuse, 13*, 265-282.
- Huba GJ, Newcomb MD, & Bentler PM (1986). Adverse drug experiences and drug use behaviors: A one-year longitudinal in al study of adolescents. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 11*, 203-219.
- Inglés CJ, Delgado B, Bautista R, Torregrosa MS, Espada JP, García-Fernández JM, Hidalgo MD, & García-López LJ (2006). Factores psicosociales relacionados con el consumo de alcohol y tabaco en adolescentes españoles. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology, 7*, 403-420.
- Kaminer Y (1991). The magnitudes of concurrent psychiatric disorders in hospitalized substance abusing adolescents. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development, 22*(2), 89-95.
- Kaplan HB (1985). Testing a general theory of drug abuse and other deviant adaptations. *Journal of Drug Issues, 15*, 477-492.
- Kelder SH, Murray NG, Orpinas P, Prokhorov A, McReynolds L, Zhang Q, & Roberts R (2001). Depression and substance use in minority middle-school students. *American Journal of Public Health, 91*, 761-766.
- Knight RC, Sheposh JP, & Bryson JB (1974). College student marijuana use and societal alienation. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 15*, 28-35.
- Kovacs M & Beck AT (1977). *Inventario de Depresión Infantil* [Child Depression Inventory]. Madrid: TEA.
- Labouvie M (1996). Maturing out of substance use: Selection an self-correction. *Journal of Drug Issues, 26*, 457-476.
- Lerner JV & Vicary JR (1984). Difficult temperament and drug use: Analyses from the New York Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Drug Education, 14*, 1-8.
- López C & Freixinós MA (2001). Psicopatología y consumo de alcohol en adolescentes. *Anales de Psicología 17*, 177-188.
- Milani RM, Parrott AC, Turner JJD, & Fox CF (2004). Gender differences in self-reported anxiety, depression, and somatization among ecstasy/MDMA polydrug users, alcohol/tobacco users, and nondrug users. *Addictive Behaviors, 29*, 965-971.
- Muñoz-Rivas MJ & Graña JL (2001). Factores familiares de riesgo y de protección para el consumo de drogas en adolescentes. *Psicothema, 13*, 87-94.
- Newcomb MD & Bentler PM (1998). Impact of adolescent drug use and social support on problems of young adults: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 97*, 64-75.
- Newcomb MO, Maddahian E, & Bentler PM (1986). Risk factors for drug use among adolescents: Concurrent and longitudinal study. *American Journal of Public Health, 76*, 625-630.
- Paton SM & Kandel DB (1978). Psychological factors and adolescent illicit drug use: Ethnicity and sex difference. *Adolescence, 13*, 187-200.
- Paton SM, Kessler R, & Kandel DB (1977). Depressive mood and adolescent drug use: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Genetic Psychology, 131*, 267-289.
- Plan Nacional sobre Drogas (2007). *Encuesta estatal sobre uso de drogas en estudiantes de educación secundaria (ESTUDES) 2006-2007*. Madrid: Ministerio del Interior.
- Rohde P, Lewinsohn PM, Kahler CW, Seeley JR, & Brown RA (2001). Natural course of alcohol use disorders from adolescence to young adulthood. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescence Psychiatry, 40*, 83-90.
- Ross V (2004). *Depression, anxiety, and alcohol or other drug use among college students*.

- Education Development Center.
- Ruiz P, Lozano E, & Polaino A (1994). Variables personales, familiares y patrones de consumo de alcohol y drogas ilegales en el adolescente. *Anales de Psiquiatría*, 10, 157-162.
- Shedler J & Block J (1990). Adolescent drug use and psychological health: A longitudinal Inquiry. *American Psychologist*, 45, 612-630.
- Scheier LM, Botvin GJ, & Baker E (1997). Risk and protective factors as predictors of adolescents alcohol involvement and transition in alcohol use: A prospective analysis. *Journal of Studies of Alcoholism*, 58, 652-67.
- Schwarz R, Burkhart BR, & Green SB (1982). Sensations-seeking and anxiety as factors in social drinking men. *Journal Studies of Alcoholism*, 43, 1108-1114.
- Smith GM & Fogg CP (1978). Psychological predictors of early use, late use, and non-use of marijuana among teenage students. In DB Kandel (Ed.), *Longitudinal research on drug use: Empirical findings methodological issues* (pp. 101-113). Washington, DC: Hemisphere-Wiley.
- Stowell RJA & Estroff TW (1992). Psychiatric disorders in substance abusing adolescent inpatients: A pilot study. *Journal of the American Academy on Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 31(6), 1036-1040.
- Vázquez F, Becoña E, & Míguez MC (2002). Fumar y depresión: situación actual en España. *Salud y Drogas/Health and Addictions*, 2, 17-52.

Received, April 7, 2010

Final Acceptance, November 10, 2010